February 26, 1990

Wallace R. Peck District Counsel Jennings, Engstrand and Henrikson 501 West Broadway, Suite 1400 San Diego, CA 92101

> Re: Your Request for Advice Our File No. A-89-710

Dear Mr. Peck:

This is in response to your request for advice regarding the responsibilities of Herbert H. Stickney, member of the board of directors of the Rainbow Municipal Water District under the conflict-of-interest provisions of the Political Reform Act (the "Act").1

<u>QUESTIONS</u>

- 1) Is Mr. Stickney disqualified from participating in a decision regarding the construction of a sewer line, including a decision relating to connections to such sewer line?
- 2) Is Mr. Stickney disqualified from participating in a decision to permit a private group which will construct the above discussed sewer line to connect with the district's system at a point over one mile away?

<u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

1. Mr. Stickney is disqualified from participating in the decision regarding the construction of the sewer line by the district and connections to the sewer line since the decision will have a reasonably foreseeable effect of more than \$10,000 on Mr. Stickney's property, and such effect is distinguishable from the effect on the public generally.

Government Code Sections 81000-91015. All statutory references are to the Government Code unless otherwise indicated. Commission regulations appear at 2 California Code of Regulations Section 18000, et seq. All references to regulations are to Title 2, Division 6 of the California Code of Regulations.

2. Mr. Stickney is disqualified from participating in the decision to permit a private group to construct the sewer line and connect to the district's sewer system since the decision will have a reasonably foreseeable effect of more than \$10,000 on Mr. Stickney's property, and such effect is distinguishable from the effect on the public generally.

FACTS

Mr. Stickney is one of five elected members of the board of directors of Rainbow Municipal Water District (the "district"), a district formed pursuant to the Municipal Water District Law of 1911, as amended (Division 20 of the Water Code). Each director is elected from a separate division of the district by the voters of that division. Director Stickney represents Division 2.

The district supplies water service throughout its 77.8 square mile territory through over 5,000 meters to approximately 12,000 residents. The district supplies sewer service to only a portion of its territory. About one-third of its water customers receive sewer service from the district. The remaining rely primarily on private septic systems.

In response to requests from certain property owners within Division 2, the board has been asked to consider authorizing the construction of a sewer line to serve about 85 properties which are or may be unable to rely upon septic tanks for sewage disposal.

One of the properties that would be served by the new sewer line would be that of Mr. and Mrs. Ronald P. Thon. The Thons own a home situated on a 2.42 acre parcel of land which has been declared by the County Department of Health Services to be unfit for human habitation due to a defective private sewage disposal system. The proposed district sewer system would allow reoccupation of the house by the Thons.

Director Stickney and his wife own about 5.3 acres of land on which is situated their residence. The boundary of their property is approximately 700 feet from the boundary of the Thons' property, with the two residences being less than 1,000 feet apart. The property of Director Stickney is about 1,200 feet from the proposed location of the sewer pipeline.

The estimated market value of Director Stickney's property is over \$750,000. Director Stickney believes that the ultimate effect of the board's decision upon the fair market value of his property will be to increase or decrease it by at least \$10,000.

In addition, Director Stickney's property currently relies upon a septic tank. If the sewer line is constructed, Director Stickney may be able to utilize it in the future should his septic system become inadequate, thereby affecting the value of his property. At the present time, no such need is apparent. Our File No. A-89-710 Page 3

Moreover, the board has been asked to limit the properties that would be able to connect to the new sewer line. Such a decision could prohibit Director Stickney from connecting his property to the sewer.

<u>ANALYSIS</u>

Section 87100 prohibits any public official from making, participating in, or using his official position to influence a governmental decision in which he knows or has reason to know he has a financial interest. An official has a financial interest in a decision if it is reasonably foreseeable that the decision will have a material financial effect, distinguishable from its effect on the public generally, on the official or a member of his immediate family, on on:

Any real property in which the public official has a direct or indirect interest worth one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or more.

Section 87103.

Mr. Stickney is a public official. (Section 82048.) He has an interest in real property worth more than \$1,000. Accordingly, he is prohibited from participating in a decision if it is reasonably foreseeable that the decision will have a material financial effect, distinguishable from the effect on the public generally, on the official or on his real property interest.

Foreseeability

The effects of a decision are reasonably foreseeable if there is a substantial likelihood that they will occur. To be foreseeable, the effects of a decision must be more than a mere possibility; however certainty is not required. (Downey Cares v. Downey Community Development Com. (1987) 196 Cal. App. 3d 983, 989-991; Witt v. Morrow (1977) 70 Cal. App. 3d 817, 822; In re Thorner (1975) 1 FPPC Ops. 198 (copy enclosed).) The Act seeks to prevent more than actual conflicts of interest, it seeks to prevent even the appearance of a possible conflict of interest. (Witt v. Morrow, supra at 823.)

Materiality

Regulation 18702 sets forth the guidelines for determining whether an official's financial interest in a decision is "material" as required by Section 87103. If the official's financial interest is <u>directly</u> involved in the decision, Regulation 18702.1 (copy enclosed) applies to determine materiality. Thus, for example, if Mr. Stickney's property is

An official's "immediate family" includes his spouse and dependent children. (Section 82029.)

directly involved in a decision before the board because it is one of the properties to be connected to the sewer line, the effect of the decision would be deemed material. If, on the other hand, the official's financial interest is <u>indirectly</u> affected by the decision, such as when the decision concerns sewer connection to neighboring properties but not to Mr. Stickney's property, Regulations 18702.2 through 18702.6 (copies enclosed) would apply to determine whether the effect of the decision is material.

Public Generally

Even if the reasonably foreseeable financial effect of a decision is material, disqualification is required only if the effect is distinguishable from the effect on the public generally. (Section 87100.) For the district, the public consists of all residents of the district. The effect of a decision is distinguishable from the effect on the public generally unless the decision will affect the official's interest in substantially the same manner as it will affect all residents of the district or a significant segment of the residents of the district. (Regulation 18703, copy enclosed.)

Question 1: Decision by the board to construct the sewer
line.

It is foreseeable that the decision by the board to construct the proposed sewer line will have an economic effect on Mr. Stickney's real property interest. That ability to connect to the new sewer system, albeit at some future date, should enhance the value of Mr. Stickney's property. Even if Mr. Stickney will not be able to connect to the new sewer pipe, if properties nearby will be able to connect to the new sewer line, it will enhance the value of such properties and will thus, indirectly affect the value of other properties in that general area. Without the ability to connect to the new sewer line, the value of those properties will be negatively affected, thus negatively affecting other properties in that general area. Therefore, it is reasonably foreseeable that the decision by the board to construct the new sewer line will have an economic effect on Mr. Stickney's real property interest.

If the decision to approve construction of the sewer line specifically includes a decision whether to permit Mr. Stickney's property to connect to the sewer line, subdivision (a)(2) of Regulation 18702.3 would apply to determine whether the effect of the decision is material. That subdivision provides that the effect of the decision is material if:

The decision involves construction of, or improvements to, streets, water, sewer, storm drainage or similar facilities, and the real

Our File No. A-89-710 Page 5

property in which the official has an interest will receive new or substantially improved services.

Regulation 18702.3(a)(2).

Thus, the effect of the decision whether to connect Mr. Stickney's property to the sewer line would be material.

Even if the decision to approve construction of the sewer line does not specifically include a decision whether to permit Mr. Stickney's property to connect to the proposed sewer line, the effect of the decision may be material. Construction of the sewer line to properties adjoining Mr. Stickney's property would enhance Mr. Stickney's ability to connect to the newly constructed sewer line. This ability to connect to the new sewer system, albeit at some future date, will have an indirect effect on the value of Mr. Stickney's property. In addition, as discussed above, the value of the surrounding properties will be affected by their ability to connect to the proposed sewer line. If the properties are unable to connect to a sewer system, their value will be depressed and this will depress the value of other properties in the vicinity.

Subdivision (c) of Regulation 18702.3 describes the standard to determine whether the effect of such a decision is material. It provides that where the decision does not involve a specific property which is the subject of a decision, but rather is more generalized, such as the construction of a sewer line, the effect of the decision is material if it meets the monetary standards in subdivisions (a)(3)(A) or (B) of Regulation 18702.3. Thus, the effect of a decision is material if the decision will have a financial effect of:

- (A) Ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) or more on the fair market value of the real property in which the official has an interest; or
- (B) Will affect the rental value of the property by \$1,000 or more per 12 month period.

Regulation 18702.3(a)(3)(A) and (B)).

You have indicated that Mr. Stickney believes the decision to construct the sewer line will have an effect of more than \$10,000 on the value of his property. Based on this information, we conclude that the effect of the decision is material.

This would include a decision which would permit or prohibit future connections to the proposed sewer line.

Our File No. A-89-710 Page 6

Accordingly, Mr. Stickney must disqualify himself from participating in the decision unless the decision affects Mr. Stickney's property in substantially the same manner as it affects all residents of the district or a significant segment of the residents of the district.

The proposed sewer line is expected to serve about 85 properties. In response to my questions about the number of properties in the vicinity that may be affected by the sewer line, you sent me a map showing the layout of the Rainbow Municipal Water District, and the proposed sewer line. You have shown a very small area on the map, designated as Rolling Hills, as the area that contains the properties which are expected to be served by the proposed sewer line. You have also indicated the location of Mr. Stickney's property on the map; it is located about 1200 feet from the proposed sewer line. It would appear that the effect on properties similarly situated (i.e., about 1200 feet from the boundaries of Rolling Hills) would be substantially similar to the effect on Mr. Stickney's property. This effect will be distinguishable from the effect on the public generally, within the meaning of Section 87103, unless the properties that are affected in substantially the same manner as Mr. Stickney's property are deemed to be a significant segment of the public. (Regulation 18703.)

In order to be considered a significant segment of the public, a group must be large in numbers and heterogeneous in quality. (In re Ferraro (1978) 4 FPPC Ops. 62; In re Legan (1985) 9 FPPC Ops. 1; copies enclosed.) The area containing the properties that may be affected in a manner similar to Mr. Stickney's property is so small when compared to the total area covered by the district that it cannot be deemed to be a significant segment of the public within the meaning of Regulation 18703. Therefore, the effect on Mr. Stickney's property is distinguishable from the effect on the public generally. Accordingly, Mr. Stickney must disqualify himself from participating in the decision by the board to construct the sewer line.

Question 2: Decision by the board to permit a private group to construct the sewer line and connect to the district's sewer line.

In a telephone conversation with you on Monday, February 12, 1990, I asked you about the number of properties contained in this area as compared to the total number of properties in the district. You indicated that you did not know the precise number of the properties involved, but you agreed that the number of properties that would be affected in a manner substantially similar to Mr. Stickney's property would not constitute a significant segment of the properties in the entire district.

The response would not be different if the decision is to permit a private group to construct the proposed sewer line and connect with the district's system at a point a mile away. Although the subject of the decision is different, the effect of the decision would be exactly the same on Mr. Stickney's property.

If the decision regarding construction of the private sewer line includes a decision to permit Mr. Stickney to connect with the proposed sewer line, Mr. Stickney's property will receive new sewer services. This effect is deemed material pursuant to Regulation 18702.3(a)(2).

Even if the decision does not raise the question of Mr. Stickney's ability to connect to the proposed sewer line, the private sewer line will affect the value of the properties that receive new or improved sewer services. This would enhance the value of the surrounding properties, including Mr. Stickney's property. In addition, as discussed above, Mr. Stickney's ability to connect to the new sewer line, albeit in the future, will enhance the value of his property. As noted above, the effect of a decision will be deemed material as to Mr. Stickney's property if it met the monetary standards in subdivision (a)(3)(A) (i.e., \$10,000 or more on the fair market value of the property). Mr. Stickney believes that the decision will have an effect of more than \$10,000 on the value of his property. Based on this information, we conclude that the effect of the decision is material. As discussed above, this effect will be distinguishable from the effect on the public generally. Therefore, Mr. Stickney must disqualify himself from participating in the decision to permit a private group to construct the sewer line and connect to the district's sewer line.

I trust this letter provides you with the guidance you requested. If you have any other questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (916) 322-5901.

Sincerely,

Kathryn E. Donovan General Counsel

By: Jeevan S. Ahuja

Counsel, Legal Division

KED:JSA:aa

Enclosures

CITY OF CALISTOGA



1232 WASHINGTON STREET • CALISTOGA, CALIFORNIA 94515 • (707) 942-5188

October 18, 1990

California Fair Political Practices Commission P.O. Box 807 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Request for formal advice

Dear FPPC Attorney:

Please consider this letter as a request for formal written advice pursuant to Government Code Section 83114 and Section 18329 of the Pair Folitical Practices Commission Administrative Regulations.

I am making this request as the City Administrator for Calistogs, on behalf of and as the authorized representative of Councilmember Maxfield of the City of Calistoga, whose mailing address is 1448 Fairway Vista, Calistoga, California.

ISSUE: Succinctly stated, does Councilmember Maxfield have a conflict of interest by participating in a governmental decision under the following circumstances?

FACTS: Councilmember Maxfield has an owner-interest in a 48 acre piece of property on a street called Silverado Trail. The ownership interest is in excess of \$100,000). Additionally, Mr. Maxfield also has a leasehold interest in a piece of property adjacent to a City parking lot. The value of his interest is between \$10,000 and \$100,000. Finally Mr. Maxfield has ownership interest in a piece of residential property, the value is in excess of \$100,000. Mr. Maxfield has a rental income in excess of \$10,000 each, from the Silverado Trial property and from the lease-hold property. Mr. Maxfield's interests are isolated to specific areas of the City of Calistoga.

In a recent informal advice letter dated, October 15, 1990, your Commission opined that based upon the financial interests of Councilmember Maxfield, there was a conflict of interest with his participation in the vote on the updated general plan.

There is presently a revised Resource Management System Ordinance (RMS) being proposed in the City of Calistoga. The RMS is designed to address the problems associated with limited water resources and limited sewer capacity in the City. It also establishes a formula to allocate equitably, the existing resources and capacity.

One of the issues of the RMS is the allocation of water for different uses, i.e. the proposal is to allocate 60 percent of the existing water for residential purposes and 40 percent for commercial purposes.

Another issue of the RMS is that it gives preference (in terms of water/sewer allocations) to low to moderate housing projects. The RMS also has a provision regarding phased developments for large projects.

Councilmember Maxfield's parcel is suitable for commercial use and is one of a very few parcels in the City which will be effected by the phasing of development provision.

The above referenced items are the two main issues which appear to warrant the need for an answer regarding potential conflict. The remainder of the RMS is primarily related to the function of the System and does not appear to pose any problems.

With that background in mind, the question is whether or not Councilmember Maxfield can participate in the decision making process regarding the RMS, or portions thereof, without being in conflict with the California Political Reform Act and specifically Section 87100 of the Government Code.

Enclosed herewith is the most recent draft of the RMS and the Mr. Maxfield's Form 721. The City is looking forward to having the RMS adopted in the very near future, thus, your immediate attention to this request would be most appreciated. We anticipate your response within 21 days.

Sincerely,

Natasha Merkuloif, *i* City of Calistoga

AF/hjb

Attachments

Date Received by FPPC

FORM 721

STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS

A Public Document 1989/90

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT IN INK

IMPORTANT: Statement must be date stamped when received by filing official

NAME Robert C.	Maxfield					TELEPHONE NUMBER 942-4171
MAILING ADDRESS 1448 Fairw	ay Vista (Court,	Calistog	a,	California	94515
***	STREET		CITY	,		ZIP CODE
OFFICE HELD OR SO	UGHT (Ch	eck One):				
STATE OF CALIFORNI	A OFFICE:					
UDICIAL BRANCH	COUNTY:				COURT:	
CHECK ONE:	☐ JUDGE	COURT	COMMISSIONER			
COUNTY OFFICE:						
CATY OFFICE:	Counci	l Membe	r		city: Calis	stoga
TYPE OF STATEMEN	IT (Check 1	the Appro	priate Box(es)):	SCHEDU	ILES TO BE COMPLETED
ASSUMING OFFICE S (For Newly-Elected a ELECTED OFFICIAL assuming office in 30 days after assu Date Assumed O APPOINTED OFFICIAL State Senate or th File no later than Date Assumed O APPOINTED OFFICIAL Senate or the Complater than 10 days Date Appointed ANNUAL STATEME	and Newly-A L (Other than December of December of Ming office. GIAL Not subject of Ming of M	day ject to confine on Judicial day to confirm	rile no later the residual state of the resi	han ne nts.	investments and in the date of assuming Schedules D, E, F, C income received didate you assumed Complete Schedule investments and in the date of assuming Schedules D, E, F, C income received did the date you assumed the date of filing. F, G and H-1 through	es A, B, C-1 and C-2 disclosing nterests in real property held on ng office. In addition, on G and H-1 through H-3, report uring the 12 months prior to
State officials and a between January 1 between January 1 PERIOD COVERED: received during the December 31, 1989	Il judges and and March 1 and April 1. Disclose all r period from	. City and c	ounty officials	file	and interests in rea	dules disclosing investments al property held and income ne during the period covered
File no later than covered is January office which was:	30 days after	r leaving of hrough the	fice. The perio	od ng	and interests in rea	lules disclosing investments al property held and income ne during the period covered by
File no later than the candidacy.		late for you	r declaration o	of	Complete Schedule investments and in the date of filing.	es A, B, C-1 and C-2 disclosing sterests in real property held on

NAME	Robert C.	Maxfield	

The Following Summary Must Be Completed By All Filers

DO NOT COMPLETE THIS SUMMARY PAGE UNTIL YOU HAVE CAREFULLY REVIEWED ALL SCHEDULES AND THE INSTRUCTIONS FOR EACH SCHEDULE.

Schedule A - INVESTMENTS	SCHEDULE COMPLETED AND ATTACHED	NO REPORTABLE INTERESTS		
(Which Are <u>Not</u> Held Through A Business Entity Or Tro	ust)			
Schedule B - INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY				
(Which Are <u>Not</u> Held Through A Business Entity Or Tru	ıst) X			
Schedule C-1 - INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY HELD BY A BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	5	X		
Schedule C-2 - INVESTMENTS HELD BY A BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST		X		
Schedule D - INCOME (Other Than Loans, Gifts And Honoraria)	х			
Schedule E - LOANS (Received Or Outstanding During The Reporting Period	od) X			
Schedule F - GIFTS		X		
Schedule G - HONORARIA		X		
Schedule H - COMMISSION INCOME, INCOME AND LOANS TO BUSI ENTITIES OR TRUSTS AND INCOME FROM RENTAL PRO				
NOTE: AFTER THE SUMMARY PAGE HAS BEEN COMPLETED, PLEASE DISCARD THOSE SCHEDULES ON WHICH YOU HAVE NO REPORTABLE INTERESTS.				
VERIFICATION				
I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed the statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in the attached schedules is true and complete.				
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	foregoing is true ar	nd correct.		
Executed on March 14th, 1990 ,19 (year), at Ca	alistoga, Califo (city and state			
SIGNATURE PTC M				

NAME Robert	C.	Maxfield
-------------	----	----------

Schedule A -- Investments (Which Are <u>Not</u> Held By A Business Entity Or Trust)

(SEE PAGES 14 & 15 OF THE INFORMATION MANUAL FOR INSTRUCTIONS AND EXAMPLES)

NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		VALUE
		l — l
PSAR Systems, Inc.		\$1,000-\$10,000 \$10,001-\$100,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT, E.G., COMMON STOCK, PARTNERSHIP INTEREST	If Acquired Or Disposed During The	▼ Over \$100,000
Common Stock	Reporting Period You Must Indicate Month, Day And Year:	Ownership Interest
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY	Date Acquired:	
Residential Property Analysis	Date Disposed:	Less than 10% X 10% or greater*
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		VALUE
		\$1,000-\$10,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT, E.G., COMMON STOCK, PARTNERSHIP INTEREST		\$10,001-\$100,000
• 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	If Acquired Or Disposed During The Reporting Period You Must Indicate	Over \$100,000
	Month, Day And Year:	Ownership Interest
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY	Date Acquired:	
	Date Disposed:	Less than 10% 10% or greater*
		10% or greater
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		VALUE
		\$1,000-\$10,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT, E.G. COMMON STOCK, PARTNERSHIP INTEREST	100	S10,001-\$100,000
	II Acquired Or Disposed During The Reporting Period You Must Indicate	Over \$100,000
	Month, Day And Year:	Ownership Interest
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY	Date Acquired:	
	Date Disposed:	Less than 10%
		10 70 or greater
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY		VALUE
		\$1,000-\$10,000
NATURE OF INVESTMENT, E.G., COMMON STOCK, PARTNERSHIP INTEREST	If Acquired Or Disposed During The	\$10,001-\$100,000
	Reporting Period You Must Indicate	Over \$100,000
<u> </u>	Month, Day And Year:	Ownership Interest
GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY	Date Acquired:	Less than 10%
	Date Disposed:	10% or greater*
NOTE: YOU MAY BE REQUIRED TO REPORT ON SEVERIUS & ANNUAL		
IOTE: YOU MAY BE REQUIRED TO REPORT ON SCHEDULE D ANY MORE) RECEIVED BY YOU OR YOUR SPOUSE FROM ANY BUSI		I OK OTHER INCOME (\$250 OK
* If you have checked this box, you must report on 5	Schedules C-1 and C-2 any inte	rests in real property and
investments held by the business entity. In addition, i	f your pro rata share of the gr	oss income from any one
source was \$10,000 or more, you may be required to repo	ort the name of that source on Sc	thedule H-2.
If additional space is needed, check b	oox and attach an additional Sch	edule A.
		-

NAME	Robert	C.	Maxfield

Schedule B -- Interests In Real Property (Which Are Not Held By A Business Entity Or Trust)

(SEE PAGES 16 AND 17 OF THE INFORMATION MANUAL FOR INSTRUCTIONS AND EXAMPLES)

STREET ADDRESS OR PRECISE LOCATION OF PROPERTY	CITY			
Silverado Trail, APN 11-050-26	APN 11-050-26 Calistoga			
NATURE OF INTEREST, E.G., OPTION, OWNERSHIP, LEASEHOLD, D	MARKET \$10,001-\$100,000 VALUE \$\times \text{Over \$100,000}			
Ownership				
If Acquired Or Disposed During The Reporting Period You Must Indicate Month, Day And Year: Date Acquired Date Disposed:		if Rental Property, Ownership Interest Is	Less than 10% 10% or greater*	
STREET ADDRESS OR PRECISE LOCATION OF PROPETY	CITY			
1458 Lincoln Avenue		Calistoga	FAIR \$1,000-\$10,000	
NATURE OF INTEREST, E.G., OPTION, OWNERSHIP, LEASEHOLD, D	EED OF TR	UST	MARKET X \$10,001-\$100,000 VALUE Over \$100,000	
Leasehold Estate				
If Acquired Or Disposed During The Date Acquired:		If Rental Property,	Less than 10%	
Reporting Period You Must Indicate Month, Day And Year: Date Disposed?		Ownership Interest Is	10% or greater*	
STREET ADDRESS OR PRECISE LOCATION OF PROPERTY	CITY			
1137 Mitzi Drive		Calistoga	FAIR \$1,000-\$10,000 MARKET \$10,001-\$100,000	
NATURE OF INTEREST, E.G., OPTION, OWNERSHIP, LEASEHOLD, D	EED OF TR	UST	VALUE Over \$100,000	
Ownership				
If Acquired Or Disposed During The Reporting Period You Must Indicate Month, Day And Year: Date Disposed:	c. 89	If Rental Property, Ownership Interest Is	Less than 10% 10% or greater*	
STREET ADDRESS OR PRECISE LOCATION OF PROPERTY	CITY			
1448 Fairway Vista Court Calistoga			FAIR \$1,000-\$10,000	
NATURE OF INTEREST, E.G., OPTION, OWNERSHIP, LEASEHOLD, D	EED OF TRU	JST	MARKET \$10,001-\$100,000 VALUE \$ Over \$100,000	
Ownership			XX202 X 0VC1 3100,000	
If Acquired Or Disposed During The Reporting Period You Must Indicate Month, Day And Year: Date Acquired: Dec Date Disposed:		If Rental Property. Ownership Interest Is	Less than 10% 10% or greater*	
NOTE: You may be required to report on Schedule D Income (\$250 or more) received by you or your spouse from rental property listed on Schedule B. *If you have a 10% or greater interest in a rental property, you may have additional reporting requirements on Schedule H-3.				
If additional space is needed, check box and attach an additional Schedule B.				

Schedule D -- Income (Other Than Loans, Gifts And Honoraria)

(SEE PAGES 20 AND 21 OF THE INFORMATION MANUAL FOR INSTRUCITONS AND EXAMPLES)

IDENTIFY EACH SOURCE OF INCOME:

GROSS INCOME RECEIVED:

NAME PSAR Systems, Inc.	
ADDRESS 1448 Fairway Vista Court	\$250 - \$1,000
	\$1,001 - \$10,000
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY	\$1,001-\$10,000
Residential Property Analysis	X Over \$10,000
DESCRIPTION OF THE CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED Salary as President	△ Over \$10,000
NAME Silverado Trail Property APN 11-050-26	
ADDRESS	\$250 - \$1,000
Silverado Trail at Brannan Street	\$1,001 - \$10,000
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY None	
DESCRIPTION OF THE CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	X Over \$10,000
Option and/or Contract Purchase Fees	Over \$10,000
NAME	
ADDRESS	\$250 - \$1,000
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY	\$1,001 - \$10,000
DESCRIPTION OF THE CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	Over \$10,000
NAME	
	(250 44 000
ADDRESS	\$250 - \$1,000
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY	\$1,001 - \$10,000
DESCRIPTION OF THE CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED	Over \$10,000

If additional space is needed, check box and attach an additional Schedule D.

Schedule E -- Loans (Received or Outstanding During the Reporting Period)

(SEE PAGES 22 AND 23 OF THE INFORMATION MANUAL FOR INSTRUCTIONS AND EXAMPLES)

IDENTIFY EACH LENDER:		DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD:
NAME Citicorp Savings		\$250 - \$1,000
ADDRESS 1317 Lincoln Avenue, Calisto	S1,001 - \$10,000	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY Savings & Loan Assn.	WAS THE ENTIRE LOAN YES REPAID DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD? NO	X Over \$10,000
SPECIFIC SECURITY FOR LOAN INCLUDING GUARANTOR (If Real Property of Trust on my new Reside		INTEREST RATE
NAME		\$250 - \$1,000
ADDRESS		\$1,001 - \$10,000
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY	WAS THE ENTIRE LOAN YES REPAID DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD? NO	Over \$10,000
SPECIFIC SECURITY FOR LOAN INCLUDING GUARANTOR (If Real Pro	operty, Provide Address) NONE	INTEREST RATE NONE
NAME		\$250 - \$1,000
ADDRESS	\$1,001 - \$10,000	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY	WAS THE ENTIRE LOAN YES REPAID DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD? NO	Over \$10,000
SPECIFIC SECURITY FOR LOAN INCLUDING GUARANTOR (If Real Pro	pperty, Provide Address) NONE	INTEREST RATE NONE
NAME		\$250 - \$1,000
ADDRESS	\$1,001 - \$10,000	
BUSINESS ACTIVITY, IF ANY	WAS THE ENTIRE LOAN YES REPAID DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD? NO	Over \$10,000
PECIFIC SECURITY I OR LOAN INCLUDING GUARANTOR (If Real Pro	operty, Provide Address) NONE	INTEREST RATE NONE
	check box and attach an addition	NONE

NAME	Robert (С.	Maxfield	

Schedule H

(SEE PAGES 27 THROUGH 29 OF THE INFORMATION MANUAL FOR INSTRUCTIONS AND EXAMPLES)

Schedule H-1

Commission Income Received By B	Brokers, Agents And Salespersons
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY	
NAMES OF SOURCES OF INCOME	
2 1 1 1 1	ule H-2 Business Entity Or Trust
NAME OF BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST PSAR Systems, Inc.	ADDRESS OF BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST 1448 Fairway Vista Court, Calistoga
ACTIVITY OF BUSINESS ENTITY Residential Property Analysis	2220 20200, 70200
NAMES OF SOURCES OF INCOME AND LOANS TO THE BUSINESS ENTITY OR TRUST	
Loan to Company from personal assets of	
Robert C. Maxfield.	
Schedul Income From Re	ntal Property
You must disclose the name(s) of any renter(s) who made rent receipts from any one renter was \$10,000 or more.	. payments, provided that your pro rata share of such
ADDRESS OF RENTAL PROPERTY 14458 Lincoln Avenue	CITY Calistoga
Adellas Yarn Shop	
ADDRESS OF RENTAL PROPERTY	CITY
NAMES OF RENTERS	
If additional space is needed, check bo	x and attach additional schedules.



California Fair Political Practices Commission

July 10, 1990

Robert Maxfield City Council Member City of Calistoga 1448 Fairway Vista Court Calistoga, CA 94515

Re: Statement of Economic Interests

Type of Statement: Annual Date Filed: March 14, 1990

Dear Mr. Maxfield:

The Fair Political Practices Commission received your statement of economic interests. It appears that your statement does not conform with the filing requirements of the Political Reform Act in the following respects:

On Schedule H-3-Income from Rental Property, you reported receiving rental income of \$10,000 or more from Adellas Yarn Shop. Rental income of \$250 or more must also be reported on Schedule D-Income.

The enclosed supplemental schedule(s) should be completed within 20 days and returned to your <u>agency's</u> filing official who will retain a copy and forward the original to the Fair Political Practices Commission.

Please note that our review of your statement does not constitute an in-depth audit and your compliance with this request for supplemental information or correction does not relieve you of your responsibility for the overall accuracy and completeness of your statement as required by law.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at (916) 322-5662.

Sincerely,

Colleen McGee Political Reform Consultant

himn Martin

by: Lynne Martin

Legal Documents Examiner
Technical Assistance and
Analysis Division

Analysis Division

Enclosures pc: City Clerk

NAMERobert C. Maxfield	IMPORTANT: Statement must be date stamped when received
CITY, COUNTY OR AGENCY Council Member, Calistoga	
PERIOD COVERED Annual Statement Filed 3/14/90,	July 12th, 1990
AMENDMENT - Schedule D Incor (Other Than Loans And Gifts)	ne
DENTIFY EACH SOURCE OF INCOME:	GROSS INCOME RECEIVED:

NAME PSAR Systems, Inc. \$250 - \$1,000 **ADDRESS** 1448 Fairway Vista Court, Calistoga BUSINESS ACTIVITY IF ANY \$1,001 - \$10,000 Real Property Anaylst DESCRIPTION OF THE CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED Over \$10,000 President NAME Adelas Yarn Shop \$250 - \$1,000 ADDRESS 1458 Lincoln Avenue, Calistoga \$1,001 - \$10,000 BUSINESS ACTIVITY IF ANY Retail Yarn Shop Over \$10,000 DESCRIPTION OF THE CONSIDERATION FOR WHICH INCOME WAS RECEIVED Rent VERIFICATION I have used all reasonable diligence in preparing this statement. I have reviewed the statement and to the best of my knowledge the information contained herein and in the attached schedules is true and complete. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Calistoga, California Executed on July 12th 19 $\frac{90}{(\text{Year})}$, at _____ (City and State) SIGNATURE

If additional space is needed, check box and attach an additional Schedule D.

The rent from the Yarn Shop was reported in error on Schedule H-3. The gross rent is \$550.00 per month, and the Land Lease Payment is \$200.00 per month. The actual gross rentd from this property to me is \$350.00 per month or \$4,200 annually.

NOTE:

Sorry for the Error. Rumpl



California Fair Political Practices Commission

October 30, 1990

Natasha Merkuloff City of Calistoga 1232 Washington Street Calistoga, CA 94515

Re: Letter No. 90-675

Dear Ms. Merkuloff:

Your letter requesting advice under the Political Reform Act was received on October 29, 1990 by the Fair Political Practices Commission. If you have any questions about your advice request, you may contact Deanne Stone, an attorney in the Legal Division, directly at (916) 322-5901.

We try to answer all advice requests promptly. Therefore, unless your request poses particularly complex legal questions, or more information is needed, you should expect a response within 21 working days if your request seeks formal written advice. If more information is needed, the person assigned to prepare a response to your request will contact you shortly to advise you as to information needed. If your request is for informal assistance, we will answer it as quickly as we can. (See Commission Regulation 18329 (2 Cal. Code of Regs. Sec. 18329).)

You also should be aware that your letter and our response are public records which may be disclosed to the public upon receipt of a proper request for disclosure.

Very truly yours,

Scott Hallabrin

Acting General Counsel

SH:jl